

2004

# Building Books: Le Corbusier's 'Word-Image' Pavilions, an Architecture of Representation

Daniel J. Naegele

*Iowa State University*, [naegele@iastate.edu](mailto:naegele@iastate.edu)

Follow this and additional works at: [https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/arch\\_conf](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/arch_conf)



Part of the [Architectural History and Criticism Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Naegele, Daniel J., "Building Books: Le Corbusier's 'Word-Image' Pavilions, an Architecture of Representation" (2004). *Architecture Conference Proceedings and Presentations*. 66.  
[https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/arch\\_conf/66](https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/arch_conf/66)

This Conference Proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by the Architecture at Iowa State University Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Architecture Conference Proceedings and Presentations by an authorized administrator of Iowa State University Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [digirep@iastate.edu](mailto:digirep@iastate.edu).

---

# Building Books: Le Corbusier's 'Word-Image' Pavilions, an Architecture of Representation

## **Disciplines**

Architectural History and Criticism

## **Comments**

This proceeding is from *Contribution and Confusion: Architecture and the Influence of Other Fields of Inquiry*, edited by Scott Poole and Pia Sarpaneva (2004).

## Building Books: Le Corbusier's 'Word-Image' Pavilions, an Architecture of Representation

DANIEL NAEGELE, Ph.D  
Iowa State University

What happens when representation becomes architecture? Representation was vital to both the formation and conveyance of a new architecture. Nowhere is this more evident than in the *oeuvre* of Le Corbusier. The pavilion, initially dismissed by Le Corbusier, ultimately proved his second most popular building type. The pavilion was building-sized representation. Le Corbusier's Pavilion Esprit Nouveau, for instance, was not a permanent building, but it *represented* a permanent building. Its side-wall supergraphic, a colossal 'E-N', owed allegiance to both the realm of the word and that of image. His demountable and polychromed Nestlé Pavilion was in many ways a word-dominated billboard—quite literally a sign—into which the viewer could walk. The interior structure of the Pavillon des Temps Nouveaux paralleled a book, with its text-covered walls like pages, walls that were photographed and later represented as *pages* in Le Corbusier's 1938 book documenting this work. The Philips Pavilion was a concrete tent that Le Corbusier described metaphorically as both a bottle and a stomach and which he dubbed the *Poème électronique*.

Each pavilion survives only in two-dimensions. The photographs that re-present them return their script to the printed page, where, reduced in size, they mingle with the book's actual script. These pavilions and the books that Le Corbusier made to illustrate them fuse word with image. In each instance, Le Corbusier represents representation. Both word and image are destabilized. The notion of a single, dominating authority is questioned. What follows, then, is a brief look at each of these pavilions, a look intent on suggesting that, by building books, Le Corbusier very consciously undermined the assumed opposition of non-discursive and discursive architecture. But to what end?

To begin, though, it might be noted that Le Corbusier was *not* the first Modern-movement architect to attempt an architecture of representation. In the Twenties, Bauhaus master Oscar Schlemmer envisioned a school in which all walls were representation in the form of abstract yet decidedly anthropomorphic murals, while Bauhaus student Herbert Bayer, under the influence of the De Stijl of Theo van Doesburg, proposed a polychromed newspaper kiosk in which letters and words played integral parts. Bauhaus master Ludwig Hirschfeld-Mack designed "an apparatus for projecting coloured light shows in accordance with a quasi-musical score," and in 1924 Moholy-Nagy proposed a 'theater of surprise' that sought, in his words, to "achieve total theater effects by the use of noises mixed with music, of optically blown-up images and [...] sudden contrasts..."<sup>1</sup> At the same time, Walter Gropius designed the 'Totaltheater' project in which a rotating center allowed a director to employ either an arena, thrust or proscenium stage, and a continuous screen surrounded both stage and seating. Films could be back-projected onto this screen, creating a panorama-like effect, enveloping the audience in the work of art—insisting that they participate in its production. Le Corbusier, whose first public building was a cinema, was intrigued by such possibilities, and in the mid-20's, he planned an issue of *L'Esprit Nouveau* on new theaters and cinemas, soliciting projects from both Gropius and Frederick Kiesler.<sup>2</sup>

At first, at least, Le Corbusier's pavilions differed from these more 'cultured' suggestions. In his hands, the pavilion was *publicité*; it was architecture as propaganda art.<sup>3</sup> Literally a sign, a billboard, a display intended to call attention to itself, the exhibition pavilion provided a program for building in which portability and graphic art were essential attributes. The function of

the pavilion was to provoke. Le Corbusier's attempts to integrate this function with architecture resulted in highly ambiguous word-image paradoxes.<sup>4</sup> Habitable structure wrapped in words exemplified what Duchamp—in reference to his own art—once termed 'the infra-thin': a referent layer (most often of paint) that formed an aphoristic visual contradiction. Needless to say, any move in this direction threw the 'objectiveness' of architecture into crisis.

In 1925, then, in defiance of the theme of the Paris exposition that insisted on elevating Art Deco to the status of architecture, Le Corbusier built the Pavillon l'Esprit Nouveau [Fig. 1]. The pavilion was habitable representation. It represented modern architecture itself. It was quite literally a full-scale model, a mock-up of a typical living unit in Le Corbusier's urban housing complex.<sup>5</sup> With its fixed concrete frame supporting 'Solomite' compressed-fiber panels, it served as a testing ground for new materials and techniques. Le Corbusier regarded it as a portable building, intending for it to be sold, dismantled and reassembled in a Paris suburb after the exposition.




Fig. 1.

The Pavillon l'Esprit Nouveau translated architecture into visual space. By concealing structure and by resolving dynamic lines of force in rectilinear composition, it eschewed traditional notions of building. Its colored walls and right angles relieved the structure of apparent tactile and kinetic pressure. Phenomenon was divorced from structural fact. An architecture of visual space was promoted. The Esprit Nouveau Pavilion easily assumed the function of a billboard. Purist colors enhanced its "blind side elevation" where the anonymity of cubic container architecture was conjoined with the signification of the written word. The initials 'E N', painted on the building's unused side—a blank elevation that was to facilitate close-packing when the unit

was multiplied to fill a city block—were enlarged to the size of primary architectural elements. These letters both label and add visual interest to the pavilion. In their size and placement within the frame, they echo the glazed opening of the adjacent front façade. Continuity in the upper horizontal band is maintained, containing the cube and suggesting openings of similar size.

In this graphic gesture, Le Corbusier underscored the spatial form of letters. Though flat, [Fig. 2] the E and N are construed to suggest a dimension of depth; they appear to recede into the building itself. This illusion of depth is then countered by the much smaller 'L'ESPRIT NOUVEAU' on a white field that seems to overlap but in fact occupies the same plane as the 'E N.' Escapees from a language that belongs to books, these words and letters were later returned to the printed page when a carefully cropped and colored photograph of them was featured the following year as cover for Le Corbusier's *Almanach of Modern Architecture*.




Fig. 2.

In 1928 Le Corbusier designed a publicity pavilion for Nestlé, again a demountable building, one that was erected at local fairs in Paris, Marseilles, and Bordeaux [Fig. 3]. With its butterfly roof, taut planes, open metal trusses, and continuous glazing, it assumed the aesthetic of high technology. Seamless and without a trace of traditional construction: with no pronounced foundation wall, no overlapping materials, no cornice line, it was, like a machine, precision-made. Nestlé canisters levitated effortlessly before the structure.

Here, the front facade served as a billboard. Occasionally, letters aligned with architectural openings or edges, suggesting integration. Occasionally, non-alignment and overlapping reinforced a notion of appliqué and



Fig. 3.

encouraged signification. Thus letters exhibited a double allegiance. Letters were both word and image. Always they were shapes that signified—sometimes specifically, other times not-so-specifically. In this container, Nestlé products exhibited their mass-produced nature and contributed to architectural composition. Building and product were united as one.

In all of this there is a sense of the Nestlé Pavilion as a decorated shed. It's only when one sees colored photographs of the building that it takes on a second metaphor [Fig. 4].<sup>6</sup> For the whole contraption was painted baby blue and red, rendering the structure mirage-like and helping to fuse words and machined form into a unity. In such images, the Nestlé Pavilion seems like a large-scale, figurative painting, a kind of collage through which one walks and thus a duck rather than a decorated shed. Or might it have been both—simultaneously?

All of this was done at a time when Le Corbusier was adamantly opposed to the painted figurative mural. He had, however, constructed his early buildings not as material presence but as surface presence, buildings architecturally construed as a kind of three-dimensional palette intended to register a visual effect. At the Villa de Mandrot, for instance, he applied a blue 'chalk' finish to the stone wall of the living room, in effect dematerializing this heavily rusticated wall. At the Pavillon Suisse *bibliothèque*, Le Corbusier de-materialized the sensuously curved and brutal stone wall by unabashedly applying a photomural to it [Fig. 5]. The photomural was inherently appliqué. It concealed, rather than revealed the wall that supported it. As photo mural and chalk dematerialized walls, object became image. And if



Fig. 4.

concealment seemed to violate modern architecture's belief in the 'honest' expression of materials, the photo mural, Le Corbusier argued, was both tectonic and technically sophisticated. In this sense it exuded modern ideals. More importantly, this modern guise allowed architecture not just to *include* the figurative but to *become* the figurative.



Fig. 5.

Signs and symbols—‘functional’ in a world given over to advertisement—increasingly became an integral part of the aesthetic of avant-garde architecture. The sign could be inhabited. Oscar Nitzschké’s ‘Publicity Building’ project of 1935, for example, construed as facade a reticulated metal frame on which was to be hung messages in neon and billboard-sized images of faces [Fig. 6].<sup>7</sup>




Fig. 6.

For Le Corbusier, too, the wall, once a pure plane of color or light, now could be construed as text. Architecture and exhibition become one as evidenced in his Pavillon Publicitaire, an unbuilt design executed in 1937 for the Czech shoe and airplane manufacturer Bat'a.<sup>8</sup> Here, colored photomontage *publicité* covered the walls. One ceiling was of luminous, translucent glass with a ‘planisphere’ painted on it.<sup>9</sup> Another was a cinema screen onto which various ‘wearers of shoes’ were projected. The pavilion featured neon signs, battered walls painted in primary colors, a yellow aggregate floor, and a full-size airplane suspended from the ceiling. The Thirties equivalent of an ancient Egyptian tomb, in this pavilion one walked within representation itself. The interiority of this picture book environment of color and image cannot be escaped.

Like the sets built by Caspar Neher for Brecht’s 1928 Threepenny Opera, Bat’a’s walls of text exude what Brecht once described as “a deliberate confusion of concepts [in which] sayings, photographs and images surround the character’s actions.”<sup>10</sup>

Later that year for the same exposition, Le Corbusier built the Pavillon des Temps Nouveaux [Fig. 7]. An inexpensive, expeditious response to a last minute, under-funded program, this 1937 tent structure was a temporary building that housed an overtly polemical exhibition. The pavilion’s curvaceous space, with its compelling illusionism, evoked a peculiarly ‘phenomenal’ atmosphere; a sensation of the unreal in reality. Its outer walls and ceilings were of canvas; thus, here, surface was material. Daylight—the presence of celestial movement—filtered through translucent polychromed canvas to animate space and manifest palpable color. Fluid form and the luminosity of colored light provoked a sensation of unreality. Equally important, under this tent, but entirely separate from it, was a contrasting structure: a rectilinear post and beam frame that carried not only photo murals made by Le Corbusier, Léger, and other artists, but an illustrated text [Fig. 8].<sup>11</sup> To walk within its walls was to walk within the pages of a picture book. Its sculptural centerpiece was a colossal open book. And in the 1938 book that documented this ephemeral tent, the pages were sometimes legible photographs of ‘written walls’. In addition, in this book, the apparent size of the relatively small pavilion is magnified in collage images in which Le Corbusier added diminutive scale figures, thus increasing the apparent size of the interior but also bringing to the image *another* space. The illusory space evoked in this re-presentation of the pavilion—what one might term ‘the space of representation’—seemed to contradict the ‘real’ space of the tent structure.

When Hiroshima brought human existence to the brink of extinction, technology appeared a dubious ally to modern architecture. Le Corbusier no longer cast himself as high-technologist of the Mechanical Age, but as poet-painter-architect of the Electronic Era—a humanist concerned not so much with current conditions but with *all* of mankind throughout *all* ages. In 1955 he published *Poem to a Right Angle*, a book that in both tone and theory contrasted with his renowned 1923 *Vers une architecture*. A visual book, *Poem to a Right Angle* was simultaneously print and visual media, an artifact intended to surround its reader/spectator. Its words were visual writing that in their size and shape were also images. The book promoted cryptic transformation of a mythic, cult-like sort.




Fig. 7.




Fig. 8.

The 'atmosphere' that *The Poem* advanced, as well as the decidedly illusory sensations evoked in the Pavillon des Temps Nouveau, were expanded in the 1958 Philips Pavilion [Fig. 9]. Built for the Belgian electronics giant at the Brussels International Exhibition, the pavilion was construed of curious sounds and figurative apparitions of light in space. Here architecture was an event, a multi-media spectacle; but it was also writing of a different sort. Le Corbusier described the tent-like structure to his client. "I shall not give your pavilion a facade," he said, "but I shall compose an Electronic Poem contained in a 'bottle'. This bottle will be your pavilion, devoted to the harmonious expression of the unexploited resources of electronics. The Poem will be composed of pictures, coloured rhythms, music. The Electronic Poem will combine in a coherent whole what films, recorded music, colour, words, sound and silence

have until now produced independently. The Poem will last ten minutes. It will be performed for 500 spectators at a time...<sup>12</sup>



Fig. 9.

The crowd quite literally 'entered into' the work of art. Huddled together in the dark, they experienced 10 minutes of the music of Varèse and Xénakis as it emanated from 400 amplifiers.<sup>13</sup> A sound recording was heard comprised, according to Le Corbusier, of "all noises of the universe, big ones, small ones and humble ones, that of the cat, mosquito and flooring, those the poet imagines, cries of joy and pain of all nature."<sup>14</sup> Over this, the voice of Le Corbusier was heard announcing: "*Attention! Attention! All is accomplished subtly: a new civilization, a new world. It is urgent that we establish natural conditions once again in our own minds and bodies. Sun, space, greenery. A mathematical universe...*"<sup>15</sup>

The pavilion was a kind of black box into which minimal light was admitted in the form of piercing rays. Enormous images appeared unexpectedly. Many represented representations of 'man': festive masks, the face of the Buddha, a sculpted head of Christ, a skull, holocaust corpses, African totems, tattooed and painted faces, a skeletal hand, a model of Le Corbusier's 'Open Hand', the mushroom clouds of nuclear destruction [Fig. 10].<sup>16</sup> The narrative of the Brussels Pavilion was non-linear



and, one supposes, disorienting. Its strange music and 'emissions'—both aural and visual—pushed the poem beyond language. Le Corbusier described this electronic moment as a, "[...] new celebration, that long cry of a rediscovered community, the sense of drama, passion and faith, present in the collective soul [...]"<sup>17</sup>



Fig. 10.

Technology became environment. Brussels spectators were immersed in fictive space of an instinctual, sensational sort—a space of light, sound and time. Here, Le Corbusier combined exhibition with cult value to create a kind of architectural poem, writing without words, building without walls. He accomplished what the Bauhaus masters had envisioned three decades earlier. In contrast to the Pavillon l'Esprit Nouveau, the Philips Pavilion was a primitive, non-cubistic construct that encouraged a kind of tribal experiencing of a poetic spectacle. Pure phenomenon, religious in tone, had replaced a portable architecture of industrial container and mass production. A kind of architectural transubstantiation took place. That which 30 years earlier in the Pavillon l'Esprit Nouveau was written, immutable, and clearly defined, here gives birth to that which is only and quite literally 'in the air'. Architecture is atmosphere—a pure phenomenon, a dream-like mental state imbued in the collective memory.

In conclusion, then, it might be said that with Le Corbusier, the pavilion was never simply a frame for display but was also the display itself, never simply a decorated shed, but was rather a duck of a decorated shed. 'Interior' walls were images, first of color, then of words, and ultimately of light and sound; its space was both visual and acoustic. Objects—including the building itself—ceased to exist. More and more, for Le Corbusier, the building became a kind of contraption,

an instrument, a machine that provoked a wholly fictive environment, an architecture of ephemeral but always essential attributes: color, light, space, time. By evoking a different way of thinking, the written word assisted Le Corbusier in this movement from the object to aura. At first, the word made flesh challenged the presentness of wall, of enclosure. Eventually, word and wall co-existed, each evaporating the authority of the other. Ultimately, in the Electronic Era, both became instantaneous events comprised not of physical matter but of resonance of aural and light rays that mimicked the interior human mind, apparitions of an advanced, technically sophisticated sort that seemed to many to predict a future state.

Words, then, the writing on the wall, discourse, were ingredients in a formula that advanced an architecture not of objectivity but of a phenomenal sort, in the most basic sense of that word. When representation became architecture, architecture became phenomena.

## NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Willett, *Art and Politics in the Weimar Period*, p156.

<sup>2</sup> See FLC Box B2-16, #1-15, letters written to *L'Esprit Nouveau* in April-June 1921 in response to a request from its editors for reproductions of drawings and photographs of new theaters and cinema buildings.

<sup>3</sup> For a history of the pavilion see Edward N. Kaufman, "The Architectural Museum from World's Fair to Restoration Village," *Assemblage* 9 (June 1989): 20-39.

<sup>4</sup> Initially *New World of Space* was to be titled either *L'Espace Indicible* or *Space Beyond Words* as indicated in an agreement made May 27, 1946 between Le Corbusier and Reynal & Hitchcock, Publishers, New York City [FLC, Box D1-15, # 87].

<sup>5</sup> See "Procédés et matériaux nouveaux" in Le Corbusier, *Almanach d'architecture moderne* (Paris: Éditions G. Crès, 1926) pp190-197 as well as the many advertisements that appear in the back of this book.

<sup>6</sup> Two-colored photographs were featured in *L'Architecture Vivante* (Spring/Summer 1929) pl. 28.

<sup>7</sup> See *Encyclopédie de l'architecture* (Paris: Éditions Albert Morancé), Tome X, plates 5-7. Born in 1900, Nitzchké worked in Le Corbusier's office before collaborating with Paul Nelson in the early and mid-thirties.

<sup>8</sup> See Jean-Louis Cohen's entry on Bat'a in *Le Corbusier, une encyclopédie*, pp62-67. See, also, Gilles Ragot, "Le Corbusier et l'exposition," in *Paris, 1937: cinquantième de l'Exposition internationale des arts et techniques dans la vie moderne*, ed. Bertrand Lemoine (Paris: Institut français d'architecture, 1987), pp72-79.

<sup>9</sup> The multi-colored world map was an acceptably modern 'mural' popular in the thirties. See, for example, George Howe's Evening Bulletin Building in Philadelphia as presented in *Architectural Record* (Nov. 1937): pp76-77.

<sup>10</sup> "This is no less natural an environment than any other," Brecht continued. "Centuries of general reading have allowed inscriptions to assume the character of reality." As translated in John Willett, *Caspar Neher; Brecht's Designer* (London and New York: Methuen, 1986), p102.



<sup>11</sup> These others were Beauquier, Gischia and Mazonot. See André Lejard, "A propos d'une conception nouvelle de la publicité murale: Lucien Mazonot," *Arts et Métiers Graphiques* (Jan 1, 1938): pp44-48.

<sup>12</sup> Le Corbusier, *Creation is a Patient Search*, p186.

<sup>13</sup> Le Corbusier, *Creation is a Patient Search*, "480 [seconds] were allocated to Edgar Varèse and 120 to Xénakis."

<sup>14</sup> Jean Petit, *Le Poème Électronique Le Corbusier*, (Paris: Editions de Minuit, 1958) as quoted by Tim Benton in "The sacred and the search for myths," *Le Corbusier: Architect of the Century*, p244.

<sup>15</sup> FLC Box A3-2, #658. This typed announcement is divided into five sections and is configured as poetry. I've added commas where Le Corbusier breaks the text with slashes or by starting new lines.

<sup>16</sup> These are documented in *Le Poème Électronique Le Corbusier* and in B. Lootsma, "Poème électronique: Le Corbusier, Xenakis, Varèse," *Le Corbusier Synthèse des Arts. Aspekte des Spätwerks 1945-1965* (Karlsruhe: Wilhelm Ernst/Badischer Kunstverein, 1986).

<sup>17</sup> Petit, *Le Poème Électronique Le Corbusier*.